```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
               FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                       MARSHALL DIVISION
3
   CENTOCOR, ET AL
                                   Civil Docket No.
                                   2:07-CV-139
   VS.
                                   Marshall, Texas
4
5
                                  May 29, 2009
   ABBOTT LABORATORIES
                                   9:00 A.M.
6
              TRANSCRIPT OF VOIR DIRE PROCEEDINGS
7
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE T. JOHN WARD
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
   APPEARANCES:
9
   FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                          MR. RICHARD SAYLES
                          MR. MARK STRACHAN
10
                          Sayles Werbner
                          1201 Elm Street
                          4400 Renaissance Tower
11
                          Dallas, TX 75270
12
                          MS. DIANNE ELDERKIN
                          MR. STEVEN MASLOWSKI
13
                          MS. BARBARA MULLIN
                          Woodcock Washburn
                          2929 Arch Street, 12th Floor
14
                          Cira Centre
15
                          Philadelphia, PA
                                             19104
  FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
                          MR. DAVID BECK
16
                          Beck, Redden & Secrest
17
                          1221 McKinney Street, Suite 4500
                          One Houston Center
18
                          Houston, TX 77010
                          MR. WILLIAM LEE
19
                          Wilmer Cutler
                          60 State Street
20
                          Boston, MA 02109
   APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE:
   COURT REPORTER:
22
                          MS. SUSAN SIMMONS, CSR
                          Official Court Reporter
2.3
                          100 East Houston, Suite 125
                          Marshall, TX
                                        75670
24
                          903/935-3868
   (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
   transcript produced on CAT system.)
```

```
1
   APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2
3
   FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
                          MR. WILLIAM MCELWAIN
                          MS. AMY WIGMORE
4
                          Wilmer Cutler
                          350 South Grand Avenue
5
                          Suite 2100
                          Los Angeles, CA
                                            90071
6
                          MR. GIL GILLAM
                          Gillam & Smith
7
                          303 South Washington Avenue
                          Marshall, TX
                                         75670
8
9
10
                        PROCEEDINGS
11
                  (Jury panel in.)
12
                  COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
13
                  (Opening of Court.)
14
                  THE COURT: Please be seated.
15
                  Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen.
16
                  Thank you for being here. I know you've
17
   been here a while and seen the film on patent cases.
18
                  I'm John Ward. I'm the resident judge
19
   here in Marshall. I handle the Marshall docket along
20
   with Judge David Folsom from Texarkana. He comes down
21
   here twice a year, and I go up there twice a year.
22
                  As you know, this is a civil case, and
2.3
   we're going to -- I'm going to give you some dates that
24
   we're going to try this case, and then I'll give you a
25
   little outline of how we're going to proceed.
```

```
1
                  We're going to pick a jury today, and
2
   we're going to be through here today before noon.
3
   then you're going to come back and start this case on
   Monday, June the 22nd.
4
5
                  We will try this case for four days that
          That takes us through the 26th. And then we'll
6
   week.
   come back -- we'll not try this case on that Friday.
   And then we will come back on Monday and Tuesday the
9
   29th and 30th.
10
                  So those of you selected will be -- need
11
   to be here on the 22nd through the 26th and then on the
12
   29th and 30th, and I believe we will be through with the
13
   case not later than the close of business on June the
   30th.
14
15
                  We work from 8:30 in the morning till not
16
   later than 5:30 every day with a couple of breaks and a
   lunch break.
17
18
                  With that schedule, is there anybody that
19
   has -- that they know of at this time, like you have a
20
   prescheduled surgery or something like -- you know, a
21
   member of your family, or you've already got tickets
   bought for a vacation, anything of that nature that
22
2.3
   would prevent you from serving during the dates that
24
   I've just given you?
25
                  If you do, would you raise your number at
```

```
1
   this time.
2
                  We'll talk about that in a little bit,
3
   but right now just give me -- I've got No. 10, 15, 21,
   and 26.
4
5
                  All right. We'll take that up later, but
   I wanted to mark that.
6
7
                  All right. I live over in Longview.
8
                  I'll give you the -- my personal
9
   information.
                 I live in Longview. I've lived there
10
   since -- I've been in East Texas since 1968 and live
11
   with my wife, Cissy.
12
                  And we have three children. They're all
   grown, which it's -- today is her birthday. She was not
13
14
   exactly happy when I said I had to get up at 6:00 this
15
   morning to -- that I had business in the court to take
   care of.
16
17
                  We've had -- she's been putting up with
   me almost 45 years now. Any our three children live in
18
19
   Longview and are all still there, and we have five
20
   grandchildren.
21
                  Before I took this job -- this is my
22
   tenth year on the bench -- I was a practicing lawyer
23
   doing what these lawyers do here in East Texas and tried
   many cases in this courtroom for 31 years, and this is
24
25
   my tenth year on the bench.
```

```
1
                  I guess -- I went to Baylor Law School
   and Texas Tech undergraduate. I told you my wife's name
2
3
   is Cissy. She does not work outside the home. We sort
   of made a firm deal when I wanted to go to law school
4
5
   after getting out of school the first time.
                  And she -- I told her, if she'd put me
6
   through law school, then she could stay home and take
   care of the house, and I'd manage to keep that deal.
9
   she's not worked outside the home since 1967, actually.
10
                  Actually, I served on one civil jury.
11
   never have quite understood why those lawyers -- two
12
   lawyers agreed to take me on the jury. They were both
   disappointed. So I have some appreciation for what
13
14
   y'all are going to be doing.
15
                  Now, at this time, let's talk a little
16
   bit about this case.
17
                  First, I'm going to call it for
   announcements from the parties. It's Centocor,
18
19
   Incorporated, and New York University versus Abbott
20
   Laboratories, Cause No. 2:07-CV-139.
21
                  When you make your announcements,
22
   Counsel, please introduce yourselves and all of those
23
   present that will be participating in the case.
24
                  For the Plaintiff?
25
                  MR. SAYLES: May it please the Court, I
```

```
am Dick Sayles. I'm counsel for Centocor and New York
1
2
   University.
3
                  Seated with me is Dianne Elderkin, who is
   our lead trial counsel. She'll be presenting during the
4
5
   trial.
6
                  This is Steve Maslowski, an attorney who
   will also be participating, Barbara Mullin, and also
8
   seated at counsel table is Tara Trask, who is an
9
   assistant with my office.
10
                  THE COURT:
                             Okay. Are you ready to
11
   proceed, Mr. Sayles?
12
                  MR. SAYLES: We are ready to proceed,
13
   yes, sir.
14
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15
                  For the Defendant, Abbott?
                  MR. BECK: Your Honor, David Beck for the
16
17
   Defendants. We're ready to proceed.
18
                  I'd like to introduce my colleague,
19
   Mr. Bill Lee; also, Mr. Bill McElwain; Amy Wigmore; and
20
   Mr. Gil Gillam, who will be participating in the trial,
21
   Your Honor. And we are ready to proceed.
22
                  THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
2.3
   Gentlemen, let me tell you a little bit -- you're about
   to -- this is the first step in the selection of a jury.
24
25
                  What you're about to embark on is one of
```

```
the most important duties that ordinary citizens can
1
2
   perform.
            Now, it's the second most important duty in
3
   this Court's view.
                  We just went through, Monday of this
4
5
   week, observing Memorial Day and honoring those fallen
6
   citizens who -- young men and young women who gave
   their -- paid the ultimate price to guarantee the
8
   freedoms that we all enjoy.
9
                  And those freedoms, of course, are
10
   outlined and set forth in the Constitution of the United
   States.
11
12
                  Well, one of the great rights that are
   guaranteed by the Constitution to those people who
13
14
   reside in the United States is the right to a trial by
15
   jury in a civil case.
16
                  The United States is the only country in
17
   the world that guarantees in the Constitution the right
   to a trial by jury in civil matters. That's by virtue
18
19
   of the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution.
20
                  So those -- by being here and
21
   participating today, you're doing nothing less than what
   ordinary citizens can do, and that is, preserve, protect
22
2.3
   and defend the rights guaranteed to you and to every one
24
   of us by virtue of our citizenship under the
25
   Constitution.
```

```
1
                  So it's an important -- what we're about
2
   to start up -- start to do is a very important thing.
3
                  In order for these lawyers to properly
   represent their clients, they need full and complete
4
5
   answers to the questions asked.
6
                  I can assure you that these lawyers are
7
   not going to be trying to attempt to pry into your
8
   personal matters unduly, but they will be asking
9
   questions after each of you have stood in turn here in a
10
   few moments and given the information that's found -- to
11
   the questions that are on the screen the same as I did.
12
                  And then they will each have -- after I
13
   make some additional remarks, they will have an
14
   opportunity to ask you questions for about 30 minutes,
15
   and they'll tell you something about their case.
16
                  What I want to encourage you to do is
17
   give full and complete answers. And if they ask
18
   something that for some reason, it's -- you don't feel
19
   comfortable about sharing that particular information in
20
   front of the whole panel, I want to encourage you to --
21
   all you have to say is, "That's something I want to talk
   to Judge Ward about," and I can assure you that you
22
2.3
   will.
24
                  Now, I don't know whether that will occur
25
   in this case, because it's a patent case, but to give
```

```
you an idea of what I'm talking about, it's -- the first
1
2
   year I was on the bench, one of the first cases I tried
3
   was a case involving a death that had occurred -- it was
   a civil case for damages -- a death that had occurred in
4
5
   the jail in one of our neighboring counties.
6
                  And, necessarily, the lawyers on both
   sides of the case were very interested in all
8
   experiences that the potential jurors had had with jails
9
   since they were 16 years old.
10
                  Now, I'll tell you, we had a lot of bench
11
   conferences that day.
12
                  (Laughter.)
13
                  THE COURT: And rightfully so.
                                                   And
14
   rightfully so.
15
                  But I -- I just -- I'm not suggesting
16
   we'll get into anything like that today, but I wanted to
17
   just give you a little perspective of the type of
   question -- anything that you feel uncomfortable about
18
19
   in sharing but you -- it would be necessary to share in
20
   order to give a full and complete answer.
21
                  Do not withhold any information based
22
   upon that, please, because this is very important.
                                                         This
23
   case is very important.
24
                  Now, the case, as you know, you've seen
25
   the film, is a patent infringement case arising under
```

```
the patent laws of the United States. The Plaintiffs in
1
2
   this case, Centocor, is claiming that their patents were
3
   infringed by the Defendants.
                  The Defendants contend that its products
4
5
   do not infringe the patents. And further the Defendants
6
   contend that the patents are invalid.
7
                  The Plaintiffs are seeking money damages
8
   from the Defendants by reason of the alleged patent
9
   infringement.
10
                  Now, one of the differences about this
11
   case from most cases that we try is that the jurors who
12
   are selected are ultimately required to apply different
   burdens of proof on different questions. And that's
13
   unusual in civil cases.
14
15
                  But in patent cases, the jury applies two
16
   different burdens of proof. One burden of proof is
17
   known as a preponderance of the evidence, and another is
   known as clear and convincing evidence.
18
19
                  I'm going to instruct you that when
20
   you're answering any questions about burden of proof, I
   want to tell you that when a party has a burden of proof
21
22
   of any claim or an affirmative defense by a
2.3
   preponderance of the evidence, it means that you must be
   persuaded by the evidence that the claim or affirmative
24
25
   defense is more probably true than not true.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

You see the scales of justice here on the statue right here in front of us. These -- at this point, we start off even, because you've heard absolutely no evidence. And you won't hear any evidence today. You won't hear any evidence in this case until June -starting June the 22nd. But at the close of the case either on the 29th or 30th, when you get the case, those of you selected, you'll have to weigh the evidence, and that evidence that you believe is worthy of belief, that credible evidence, you'll have to weigh it. And if the scales tip ever so slightly in favor of the person or the party with the burden of proof, they will have been said to be -- have met their burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence, because that would mean it was more probably true than not true. On the other hand, when the party has a burden of proof of any claim or defense by clear and convincing evidence, it means that you must -- when you consider the credible evidence, that you must have an abiding conviction that the truth of that party's factual contentions are highly probable; that is, that evidence -- it's a higher standard of proof than by a preponderance of the evidence.

```
1
                  If you visualize, as I have my hands
2
   here, the scales of justice, that means they're going to
3
   have to be tipped more heavily in favor of the party
   with -- that has that clear and convincing burden of
5
  proof.
                  Now, that is not to be confused with a
6
   third burden of proof that will not apply in this case,
   but if you watch TV, you may hear -- you've heard a lot
9
   about the burden of proof, or maybe you've been on a
10
   jury involving a criminal case -- beyond a reasonable
11
   doubt.
12
                  In that case, the scales have to be
13
   tipped even more heavily in favor of the State or the
14
   Government, because a person's liberty is at stake.
15
                  So I -- that's the highest burden of
16
   proof.
          That will not apply in this case. And I only
17
   mention it to you so that you will not be confused of
18
   something that, more than likely, you've heard more
19
   about than the other two burdens of proof.
20
                  So if you'll keep those in mind -- those
21
   instructions in mind, they may want to ask you about
   applying those burdens of proof. But that's what the
22
2.3
   Court wants you to know that -- that the burden of proof
24
   will be in this case.
25
                  Okay. At this time, I want to ask the
```

```
1
   jurors -- we'll start off, I quess, at -- with Ms.
2
   Williams.
                  Ms. Williams, if you'll stand. If you'll
3
   give us this information, these nine questions, and when
4
5
  you do, then just pass it along to the next juror, and
  we'll go until all of you have had the opportunity to
6
   give your information.
8
                  Please do.
9
                  JUROR MAXINE WILLIAMS: My name is Maxine
10
  Williams, and I've lived in Marshall, Texas, for about
   10 years. I'm originally from Jefferson. That's in
11
12
  Marion County. Born and raised there. I have five
13
   children, they all grown, and about seventeen
14
  grandchildren.
15
                  I am retired. I worked at -- my last
  place of employment was at Magnolia Manor Nursing Home
16
17
   in Jefferson, Texas, and I was a CNA. And I worked
18
   there for about several years. And I'm a high school
19
   graduate. I am single now. I'm divorced.
20
                  And I was on a prior jury, and it was a
21
   criminal case.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
23
  Pass it along.
24
                  JUROR MYRICK: My name is Mary Diane
25
  Myrick, and I live in Big Sandy, Texas, and I've lived
```

```
there for about 30 years. I have one child and three
1
2
   grandchildren.
3
                  I started out as a secretary, and after
  my child was born, I became a housewife. I am a high
4
5
   school graduate and have an associate's degree in
  business from TJC.
6
7
                  My husband's name is Kenneth L. Myrick.
8
  He started out at GE and then owned his own
  heavy-equipment company, which he sold in the '80s.
10
   since then, he's been a consultant and is now retired.
11
                  I was on a criminal case on May 11th.
12
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: My name is David L.
13
   Williams. I live in Atlanta, Texas. I've been there
   for 12 years. I'm originally from Haynesville,
14
15
   Louisiana. I have one child.
16
                  I'm employed at Good Shepherd Medical
17
   Center of Linden, Director of Social Services. Also,
18
   employed at the Coleman Atlanta Funeral Home as an
19
   assistant. I've worked there for 10 years.
20
                  Educational background, Bachelor of
21
   Science from Southern Arkansas University. And also I'm
   a certified activity director. Not married.
22
2.3
                  No previous civil case or jury or
24
   anything like that.
25
                  JUROR MARNI WILLIAMS: My name is Marni
```

```
Williams.
              I live in Marshall, Texas. I do not have any
1
2
   children. I work for Price T. Young Middle School in
3
   the office. I've worked there 11 years.
                  Two years of college, not married, and
4
5
   I've never served on a jury.
6
                  JUROR RICHARDSON: My name is Janice
   Richardson. I have four children. I live in Marshall,
8
   Texas.
9
                  I work for Verizon Wireless. I've been
10
   employed with them for about four and a half years.
11
   am a store manager. I have -- a high school graduate,
12
   some college.
13
                  My husband is Stanley Richardson. He is
   a retired truck driver for over 30 years.
14
15
                  And I have not served as a juror, civil
16
   or criminal.
17
                  JUROR GURLEY: My name is Stanley Gurley.
   I live in Omaha, Morris County.
                                     Three children.
18
19
   place of employment is at Rehkopf's. I do the stocking
20
   job there.
21
                  My wife's name is Darlene. I've lived in
22
   Omaha for four years. Have a high school diploma.
2.3
   to ATI trade school. My wife's name is Darlene, and she
   does janitorial work at the church.
24
25
                  And I've been on a civil case.
```

```
1
                  JUROR GOLDMAN: My name is Randy Goldman.
2
   I am from Pritchett. Worked for Sam's Club for 16
3
   years.
         I've been married for 16 years. I have four
   kids and a high school diploma in Oklahoma.
4
5
                  And I've never served on a jury.
                  JUROR STRAIT: I'm Eric Strait.
6
                                                  I live
7
   in Harleton, Texas, for about 15 years. We've got a
   combined of four kids and four grandkids. I work for
9
   Watco Mechanical Services on railroad cars. I've been
10
   there for four years. I've got high school, trade
11
   school, some college.
12
                  My wife's name is Linda. She's retired
   from AT&T.
             She worked there for like 31 years.
13
14
                  And I've been on a couple of civil
15
   juries.
16
                  JUROR BAESE: My name is Duane Baese, and
17
   I'm from Hallsville. We have one daughter. She was
   adopted from the Baptist -- in Dallas, Buckner Baptist
18
19
   (sic) Home.
20
                  And I worked at Texas Eastman for 34
21
   years, and I'm retired now. I worked as a draftsman in
22
   engineering.
                And I graduated from LaTourneau College.
2.3
                  My wife's name is Jackie, and she's also
24
  retired. She worked in daycare, child -- childcare and
25
   also as a cook for a while.
```

```
1
                  And I was picked for a jury on a civil
   case, but it was settled out of court.
2
3
                  JUROR JONES: My name is Ben Jones.
   from Gilmer. I've lived there somewhere around 28
4
5
         Got two kids. I'm a firefighter for the City of
   years.
   Kilgore. I've been there just under eight years. After
6
   high school, I went to the Fire Academy and EMT school.
8
                  My wife's name is Tiffany. She works for
9
   the Diagnostic Clinic of Longview in orthopedics.
10
   think she's been there about three years.
11
                  And I've never served on a jury.
12
                  JUROR YATES: My name is H.J. Yates.
13
   live in Pittsburg, Texas. I got four daughters, three
14
   grandkids.
15
                  I work for the Texas Northern Railroad.
   I've recently been laid off. And before that, I worked
16
17
   for Pilgrim's Pride for 12 years.
18
                  My wife is Lisa Yates. She's a preschool
19
   teacher. She's been there for about 18 years.
20
                  I have -- I have never been on a -- on
21
   any kind of jury before.
22
                  JUROR MONTS: I'm Debra Monts. I live in
2.3
   Pittsburg, Texas. I have three kids, six grandkids.
24
   work with the Upshur County Sheriff's Office. I'm a
25
   dispatcher. Also, I'm a reserve deputy. Been there
```

```
eight years. I have high school and police academy
1
2
   college type.
3
                  My husband is Mike Monts. He is a Gilmer
  police officer. He's been there five years. He works
4
5
   at the junior high school as the school resource
   officer.
6
7
                  And no jury experience.
8
                  JUROR KEEL: My name is Debbie Keel.
9
   I've lived in Gilmer, Texas, for about 30 years. I have
10
   one son and one grandson.
11
                  I've been self-employed for the past five
12
   years as a freelance computer graphic artist, and I've
   been in this field of work probably for the past 30, 35
13
14
  years. I have three years of college.
15
                  My husband is John Keel, and he works for
16
  Etex Telephone in Gilmer. He's been there about 35
17
   years.
18
                  And I have served on one civil jury
19
   trial.
20
                  JUROR SCHANLEY: My name is Alice
21
   Schanley. I have one son. I live in Big Sandy, Texas.
22
   I've work as a Director of Operations for Trinity Clinic
23
   in Tyler. I've been there about six years. I have a
24
   high school diploma, a little bit of college.
25
                  My spouse's name is James. He's semi
```

```
He's working at Brookshire's part time as a
1
   retired.
2
   courtesy clerk.
3
                  And I've never served on a jury.
                  JUROR KIZER: My name is Carolyn Kizer.
 4
5
   I live in Big Sandy, Texas, in the country. I was a
   widow about five years and remarried in the last two
6
   years, and between the both of us, we have six children
8
   and eighteen grandkids.
9
                  I work part-time as city judge.
10
   been doing that for four years. I'll retire as justice
   of the peace, Precinct 3, of Upshur County, and I've
11
12
   worked there eight years. I'm a high school graduate
13
   and then all the little seminars you have to go to to be
14
   a justice of the peace or a city judge.
15
                  My spouse's name is Gary Kizer. He is
   retired now. He did work for National Oilwell Services
16
17
   out of Kilgore.
18
                  I have never worked -- I have never
19
   served on a jury, a civil or criminal.
20
                  JUROR COLEMAN: My name is Donna Coleman.
21
   I live in Gilmer, Texas, and I've lived there for 20
22
          I have two living and one deceased child.
2.3
   work as a teacher, and I have a bachelor's degree in
24
   language arts. I've worked there for 12 years.
25
                  I -- my husband's name is John Coleman.
```

```
He is also a teacher, and he teaches chemistry and
1
2
            He has been teaching at that district for 20
   biology.
3
   years.
4
                  And I have never served as a juror.
5
                  JUROR MANNING: My name is Gary Manning.
6
   I live in Longview, Texas. I've got two children and
   one grandson. I work as an independent real estate
   landman for about the last 20 years. I have a high
9
   school education and some college.
10
                  My wife's name is Nancy. She works as
11
   the law librarian for Gregg County and Deputy County
12
   Clerk. She's only worked there a few months.
13
                  And I've never -- never served on a jury.
                  JUROR LITTLE: I'm Linda Little.
14
15
   in Diana, Texas. I've lived there 37 years. I have
16
   three grown children, seven grandchildren. And I am
   retired as a Chief Financial Officer for a home that
17
   served disabled children. I worked there seven years.
18
19
                  I have an associate's degree.
20
                  My spouse's name is Willie Little, and he
21
   is laid off from U.S. Steel and recently disabled. He
22
   did engineering work for Lone Star for eight years.
                  And I have served on a criminal case.
2.3
24
                  JUROR NUNLEY: My name is Patricia
25
   Nunley. I have seven children, numerous grandchildren
```

```
and great-grandchildren. I have been privileged to be a
1
2
   stay-at-home mom.
3
                  I'm married to W.H. Nunley. He retired
   from LaTourneau. I have a high school education.
4
5
   have lived in Gilmer for 35 years.
6
                  I served on a criminal case over 30 years
7
   ago.
8
                  JUROR KENDRICK: My name is Shannon
9
   Kendrick. I live in Jefferson, Texas. I have two kids,
10
   one grandbaby.
                  I work for Jordan Health Services.
11
                                                       It's
12
   a home health agency there. I've been there just over
13
   five years. I have a high school education. I'm
   divorced.
14
15
                  And I did serve on one civil case.
16
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: I'm Donald Vaughan from
17
   Atlanta, Texas. I've got three children. I work for
   Texas Department of Transportation. Been there for 15
18
19
   years. I have a high school education.
20
                  My wife works -- is -- her name is Linda.
21
   She works for Texas Department of Transportation in the
   Travel Division as a manager, and she's been there about
22
23
   12 years.
24
                  And I've never served on a jury.
25
                  JUROR BRILEY: My name is Carl Briley.
```

```
1
   live in Longview, Texas. I have two children. I work
2
   currently with the Longview Independent School District
3
   as an elementary school principal. I've worked there 11
   years. I have a doctorate's degree in education.
4
5
                  My spouse's name is Barbara. She works
   as a schoolteacher in Longview. She has worked there 11
6
7
   years.
8
                  I've had criminal and civil jury
9
   experience in Dallas, and I've also had that same
10
   experience here in Marshall.
11
                  JUROR RIDDLE: My name is Barbara Riddle.
12
   I live in Queen City, Texas, and we have lived in the
   Atlanta/Queen City area for the past 30 years.
13
14
                  I have two adult children, four
15
   grandchildren. Two of those are adults. My grandson is
16
   a police officer in Atlanta, and my other grandson is
17
   now going through basic training for the Air Force. And
18
   the other two grandchildren will be seniors next year.
19
   And then we have three great-grandchildren.
20
                  We've been married 46 years. And I am
   employed with the Atlanta Independent School District as
21
   a receptionist. I've been employed with them for 25
22
2.3
          My husband is retired as a distribution manager.
24
   And I have a high school diploma. My husband's name is
25
  Gerald Riddle.
```

```
1
                  And let's see, I have had no prior jury
2
   experience.
3
                  JUROR ROBERTS: My name is Thomas
   Roberts. I live in Hallsville, Texas. And I got three
4
5
   kids, three grandkids. I work for Sabine Mining.
  been there for 24 years. No high school education.
6
7
                  My wife's name is Martha. Works at
8
  Hallsville School District.
9
                  I've never served on a jury.
10
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Margie Hickerson.
   live in Gilmer, Texas. I have four children and one
11
12
   grandchild. I'm the house manager for a restaurant
13
   there in Gilmer. And I have some college. And I've
  never served on a -- I'm not married, and I've never
14
15
   served on a jury.
16
                  JUROR TURBEVILLE: Paul Turbeville.
17
   live in Atlanta, Texas. I have one child. I've worked
18
   for International Paper in the Maintenance Department
19
   for 16 years. I have a high school diploma, some
20
   college.
21
                  My wife's name is Karen. She worked for
22
   Postal Service for five years. And I have served on a
23
   grand jury.
                  JUROR GEORGE: My name is Kathy George.
24
25
  I live in Hallsville, Texas. I have two children. And
```

```
I've worked at First Baptist Church, Hallsville for two
1
   years. And I graduated high school.
2
3
                  My husband's name is James. He works at
  Aaon Coil in Longview. He's worked there nine years.
4
5
  And I've worked -- I've had -- I've been on civil and
   criminal cases.
6
7
                  JUROR DURDEN: Hi. My name is Frank
8
  Durden. I live in Avinger, Texas. I have no kids.
9
   work at Sonoco Baker off of Highway 59. I've worked
10
  there for three years.
11
                  I have a high diploma. After high
12
   school, I enlisted in the Army reserve. I'm stationed
   in Tyler. I'm not married, and I have never served as a
13
14
   juror.
15
                  JUROR FLETCHER: My name is Elaine
  Fletcher. I'm from Gilmer, Texas. My -- I live at home
16
17
   with my parents, who live in Pritchett, Texas. I have
18
  no kids.
19
                  I am a substitute teacher for several
   different school districts, one including Longview ISD
20
   and Gladewater. And I've worked there on and off since
21
22
   2000.
2.3
                  I moved to California in June of 2000 and
24
   got married, and my husband came back from the Gulf War
25
   in 2003, slapped me with a divorce, and I moved back
```

```
here and been -- went back to working for the different
1
   school districts. I went back to my maiden name, which
2
3
   is Fletcher. Like I said, he was in the Navy, so I have
   no idea what he's doing now.
4
5
                  I have a BA in general studies from
6
   Harding University in Searcy, Arkansas, with an emphasis
   in physical education and health and religion and
8
   philosophy.
9
                  And I've never served on either jury.
10
   I've been to Judge Parish's court for jury duty, but I
11
   didn't get selected at either time.
12
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you very
   much, Ladies and Gentlemen, for the information.
13
14
                  At this time, we will have the lawyers
15
   ask you questions.
16
                  Mr. Sayles, you going to ask for the
   Plaintiff?
17
18
                  MR. SAYLES: May it please the Court.
19
                  THE COURT: Yes, sir, from the podium.
20
                  MR. SAYLES: Counsel, Ladies and
21
   Gentlemen of the Jury Panel: Your time is precious and
22
   valuable, and Judge Ward runs this courtroom in respect
23
   of your time. So we're on a clock, and I'm going to
   try -- for a man that grew up in the South, I'm going to
24
25
   try to talk fast, but that's sometimes hard for me to
```

do. 1 Judge Ward has told us that we may take 2 3 about five minutes to tell you what we contend in this case and then ask questions, so I would like to do that. 4 5 Centocor and New York University have a patent issued by the U.S. Government at the Patent & 6 Trademark Office, and we contend that Abbott is 8 infringing that patent by the making and the selling of 9 their drug called Humira. 10 You will hear evidence in this case about 11 Abbott's drug, Humira, but you're also going to hear 12 about another very important drug. We contend that the evidence is going to show that Centocor first came out 13 14 with a drug called Remicade. 15 And Remicade was truly a wonder drug. 16 The evidence is going to show that this drug was used to 17 treat chronic, debilitating conditions that prior to the 18 invention of this type of drug basically had no 19 effective treatments. 20 An example would be rheumatoid arthritis, 21 which can be a crippling disease. Also, another disease that these drugs address is Crohn's disease, which is a 22 terrible disease of the gastrointestinal tract that 2.3 24 prior to the invention of these drugs would require for 25 treatment just a series of surgeries removing a portion

```
1
   of the GI tract.
2
                  But then along came Remicade, which is
3
   Centocor's drug, which addressed these diseases and was
   very, very helpful in the treatment of these diseases.
4
5
                  After that, Abbott came out with their
6
   drug, Humira.
7
                  Now, the evidence is going to show -- and
8
   I will acknowledge to you now that Humira is also a
9
   wonderful drug. They are used to treat rheumatoid
10
   arthritis, Crohn's disease, and four or five other
11
   diseases that I won't go into now, but you're going to
   hear about those in the evidence later on.
12
13
                  These are special kinds of drugs.
14
   They're not typical medicines that can just be made in a
15
   factory or a laboratory, but rather these drugs are
16
   actually antibodies.
17
                  Antibodies are typically made by our
18
   immune system within our body to fight diseases.
   under certain circumstances, the body won't produce the
19
20
   right antibodies to fight a disease, and these drugs,
21
   Humira, the accused product here, and Remicade are
   antibodies that treat these diseases.
22
2.3
                  I'm going to give you a mouthful here
24
   that I would be surprised -- I had never heard of this
25
   myself, and I would certainly be surprised if anybody
```

```
else had.
1
2
                  These antibody drugs are made using a
3
   complicated technology. It's called recombinant DNA
   techniques. And you're going to hear more about that in
4
5
   the evidence, but simply stated, that means that you
  recombine DNA scientifically to make a drug.
6
7
                  This case is about two competing
8
   companies that compete head to head: Centocor on the
9
   one hand, our client, and Abbott on the other hand.
10
                  Centocor and -- we contend is not afraid
11
   to compete with Abbott. They are. It benefits patients
12
   to have competition. It benefits patients to have
   choices of drugs. It benefits doctors to have choices
13
14
   of drugs.
15
                  But we contend that Abbott should pay for
   the use of Centocor's property, which is in the
16
17
   patents-in-suit here. And they have used that, they
18
   infringe the Centocor patent by making and selling
19
   Humira.
20
                  It's like a trespass. If I had the deed
21
   to property and somebody comes on and cuts my trees,
   they owe for it. And that's what we have here.
22
2.3
                  I want to add we're not seeking to take
24
   the Humira drug off of the market. Rather, we're
25
   seeking reasonable compensation for Abbott's use and
```

1 sale of their drug, Humira. 2 Every case has two parts. This one does. 3 We contend in this case that the damages are substantial. Abbott has had sales, since July of 2006 4 5 projected through June of '09 when this case goes to trial, in the neighborhood of \$8-1/2 billion of Humira. 6 And we are seeking damages for their use of the patent ideas that Centocor owns in this case and because the 9 sales are large, the damages are large. The evidence is 10 going to show the damages here, we contend, are over \$2 11 billion for the infringement that has occurred. I also want to add that after -- we 12 13 contend that after this profit is taken into account 14 that Centocor should have a portion of because of the 15 infringement, that Abbott itself is left with a very 16 hefty and tidy profit that is in excess of the damages that we seek in this case. 17 18 You're going to hear some evidence in 19 this case about defenses, of course. Abbott claims that 20 the patent-in-suit, among other things, is invalid. 21 was issued by the Patent & Trademark Office. 22 You're going to be given the law that it 2.3 is -- the patent is presumed valid, and the bar is very 24 high to invalidate a patent. It's the clear and 25 convincing standard.

```
1
                  THE COURT: Mr. Sayles, I don't know what
2
   clock you're using, but mine says you're at about six
3
   and half minutes.
4
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. Let me go and
5
   ask you about special training that you've had. And in
6
   doing this, let me say that if you already answered this
7
   question --
8
                  Mark, you want to come up here and help
9
  me with this?
10
                  If you've already asked -- answered this
11
   question about yourself or your spouse, I don't want you
12
   to answer it again. But if a close family member or a
13
   loved one has experience -- while they're working with
14
   that -- this always happens -- we were doing this in the
15
   interest of time.
16
                  THE COURT: Well, I've stopped the clock.
17
                  MR. SAYLES: All right.
18
                  THE COURT:
                              There we go. There we go.
19
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. Now, if you've
20
   already answered, you do not have to hold up your hand
21
   or your number and answer again, because we've gone
22
   through that. But if a close family member that you
2.3
  haven't answered about or someone that is a close friend
24
   of yours has experience in biology, chemistry,
25
   engineering patents, or law, we'd like to hear about it.
```

```
1
                  So let me just see here on the first
2
   row -- and you can just hold up your hand if you haven't
3
   already answered about that.
4
                  Yes, ma'am. Would you tell us, please.
5
                  JUROR RICHARDSON: My little sister is an
6
   engineer.
              She has a degree in engineering.
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. What field of
8
   engineering?
9
                  JUROR RICHARDSON: Architectural.
10
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you very much.
                  Anybody else on the first row, going back
11
12
   to the second row? Okay. That hasn't answered.
13
                  Yes, sir.
14
                  MALE JUROR: My mother-in-law and my baby
15
   girl are both nurses.
16
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you.
17
                  And we've got one right here on the first
18
   pew.
19
                  JUROR LITTLE: My husband was an engineer
20
   in designing patents, four patents.
21
                  MR. SAYLES: What kind of products were
   involved?
22
2.3
                  JUROR LITTLE: He was at U.S. Steel,
24
   which was in the steel industry.
25
                  MR. SAYLES: And was he an inventor on
```

```
1
   some patents?
2
                  JUROR LITTLE: He was part of the design.
3
   He was not in the -- he didn't have a degree in
   engineering, but he did the designs, and another
4
5
   engineer would -- a licensed engineer would sign off on
6
   it.
7
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. Have you
8
   yourself been involved in the patent process?
9
                  JUROR LITTLE: No, I haven't.
10
                  MR. SAYLES: By virtue of his involvement
11
   in it, do you feel like you have gained any special
12
   knowledge or expertise in the patent process, other than
   knowing that he was, in fact, involved?
13
14
                  JUROR LITTLE: He talked about it
15
   extensively at home, and so I can't recall the
16
   knowledge -- I can't give you callback on knowledge or
17
   anything, but we did talk about it extensively.
18
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. And did his company
19
   seek to protect their patent rights from time to time?
20
                  JUROR LITTLE: Yes.
21
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you very much.
22
                  JUROR COLEMAN:
                                 My husband teaches
2.3
   chemistry; I have a sister-in-law who's an industrial
24
   engineer; a sister-in-law who's an aerospace engineer;
25
   and a brother-in-law who is a mechanical engineer.
```

```
MR. SAYLES: All right.
1
2
                  JUROR MYRICK: My husband was a -- my
3
  husband worked in the Engineering Department at GE, and
  he was -- he had several patents that he developed for
4
5
  products that were in their name, not his.
                                      So --
6
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay.
7
                  JUROR MYRICK: Like inventions for air
8
   conditioning and stuff like that.
9
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. From time to
10
   time, were they involved in the enforcement of the
11
   patents?
                  JUROR MYRICK: I don't know.
12
13
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you very much.
14
                  JUROR RIDDLE: I think I've already said
15
   this, but my grandson is a law enforcement officer in
   the Atlanta, Texas, Police Department.
16
17
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay.
18
                  MALE JUROR: My oldest son is a law
19
   enforcement officer down south.
20
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you.
21
                  THE COURT: Mr. Garrett, No. 1.
22
                  JUROR MAXINE WILLIAMS: I have two
23
   daughters who are LVNs, and they both work in various
24
   rehabilitation homes.
25
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you.
```

```
1
                  All right. Let me go on to the next
2
          As you have heard several times already, this is
   topic.
3
   a patent case, and there are some folks that feel like
   that we ought not to have patents, because patents give
4
5
   the patent holder the exclusive right to use the
   invention under certain circumstances.
6
7
                  But it is a fact -- and I think you may
8
   have been taught this and shown this this morning --
9
   that the only property right that is protected in the
10
   Constitution of the United States is patents.
11
                  The Constitution gives Congress the
12
   directive to make laws regarding patents, and that's so
   that we can have innovation and development.
13
14
                  But is there anybody on the jury panel
15
   that feels like that it's not right that a party should
16
   be able to obtain a patent, and in effect, have a
17
   monopoly under certain circumstances?
18
                  Anybody on the first row?
19
                  Anybody on the second row?
20
                  Let me go back to the pews.
21
                  Here's a little harder question.
22
   Medicines and drugs, especially good ones, we want
23
   people to have access to. And a person might feel that,
24
   you know, it's all right to have a patent on a better
25
   mousetrap, but when it comes to drugs, I just don't
```

```
1
   think that's right.
2
                  Well, if you feel that way, we need to
3
   know it, because in this case, we have Centocor, which
   is a manufacturer and seller of pharmaceuticals and
4
5
   drugs, and Abbott Laboratories, which is as well, and
6
   they obtain patents on their various drugs.
7
                  But if you feel like that's not right,
8
   that they shouldn't be able to, or have hesitation about
9
   it, please tell me now. Anybody?
10
                  All right. We're seeking damages, and
11
   the law says that to enforce patent rights for damages,
12
   you have to bring a suit in the United States District
   Court, and here we are.
13
                  A lot of people feel like there are too
14
15
   many darn lawyers and too many lawsuits. But the law
16
   says that if your patent rights have been violated, that
17
   you complain about it by bringing a suit in federal
18
   court.
19
                  Is there anybody that starts out thinking
20
   that the courthouse doors ought to be a little tighter,
21
   and maybe we ought not to be able to bring these type of
22
   suits?
2.3
                  Anybody on the first row?
24
                  Second?
25
                  All right. Now, in the interest of time,
```

```
I'm going to take your silence as a statement that
1
2
   you're okay with what I'm saying. You can see we just
3
   don't have time to go person by person.
4
                  I want to ask you about this burden of
5
                The Court will instruct you again on that,
   proof idea.
6
   and naturally, you are to follow the instructions of the
   Court just as the lawyers are to follow the instructions
8
   of the Court.
9
                  But simply stated, the burden of proof to
10
   prove infringement and damages is the preponderance of
11
   the evidence. The burden of proof to prove invalidity
12
   is clear and convincing evidence, a higher standard.
13
   That's the standard that Abbott has for their defense of
14
   invalidity.
15
                  Some folks feel it's not fair that the
16
   Plaintiff's side, with those issues, would have a lower
17
   burden of proof than the defense side, but we must
18
   follow the law.
19
                  Is there anybody that has such a feeling
20
   against that being fair that they ought to say so now?
21
   Naturally, we want to know if you feel that way.
22
                  Anybody on the first row?
2.3
                  Second?
24
                  Back in the pews?
25
                  Another way to say it is, when the Judge
```

```
instructs you, as he has and as he will, as to the
1
   burdens of proof, will you apply them?
2
3
                  And I'm accepting your silence that you
4
   will.
5
                  All right. Now, I want to ask you about
6
   your knowledge of some of the drugs that are involved
   here.
8
                  Can you click to the next slide, please,
9
   or can I?
10
                  Humira is the accused product made by
   Abbott. Remicade is Centocor's product that you'll hear
11
12
   about in the damage analysis.
13
                  Enbrel is another product that you'll
14
   hear about.
                They're called the big three that compete
15
   in the market for treating these various diseases.
                  Enbrel is not involved in this case.
16
17
                  And Methotrexate is another drug that is
   sometimes used in combination with these three drugs.
18
19
   It's a choice a physician makes. Methotrexate is a
20
   separate drug that is dosed separately, it's not
21
   combined, and dosed at the same time. It's little pills
22
   that you take according to the doctor's instructions.
2.3
                  Here's my question. This gets a little
24
   personal.
              Is there anyone who has taken any of these
25
   drugs that you know of or anybody in your family?
```

```
Would you mind saying, ma'am?
1
2
                  JUROR LITTLE: As I stated, my husband
3
   had gotten -- has been laid off from U.S. Steel, and the
   week after he was laid off, he was diagnosed with
4
5
   rheumatoid arthritis, chronic rheumatoid, and he is in
6
   the process of -- with a rheumatologist. He's taking
   Methotrexate now.
8
                  He is on appointment to see the doctor to
9
   start taking one of the other -- Humira or Remicade or
10
   one of the other drugs.
11
                  MR. SAYLES: All right.
                                           Now --
12
                  JUROR LITTLE: He's in the process of
   doing that.
13
14
                  MR. SAYLES: -- the fact that your
15
   husband --
                  JUROR LITTLE: Uh-huh.
16
17
                  MR. SAYLES: -- is suffering from this
   disease, do you think that would affect your ability to
18
19
   impartially judge the issues that are being put forth in
20
   this patent infringement case?
21
                  JUROR LITTLE: I can't convincingly say
22
   that I can't some, and I have to say that it could.
2.3
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. All right. Anyone
24
   else -- I'm going to keep moving quickly -- that has
25
   taken these drugs or a loved one?
```

```
1
                  Yes, 19.
2
                  JUROR NUNLEY: I have a son-in-law that
3
   has rheumatoid arthritis. I have no idea what he takes
   or what he does for it.
4
5
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you very much.
6
                  Anybody else?
7
                  The next topic that I want to talk to you
   about is the manner of administration of drugs.
9
   manner of administration of drugs is not in the patent,
10
   and it's not a part of the elements of the patent you're
11
   going to hear about, but there's going to be evidence
   about the mode of administration.
12
13
                  And Humira is injected, and Remicade is
14
   by IV infusion about every two months. It varies
15
   according to the doctor's instructions but about every
   two months.
16
17
                  Is there anyone on the jury panel that
   has experience having to self inject a medication?
18
19
                  Yes, ma'am. And, again, do you mind
20
   telling us?
21
                  FEMALE JUROR: I have to do injections
22
   every evening with Lantus --
2.3
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay.
24
                  FEMALE JUROR: -- for diabetes.
25
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. Yes, ma'am.
```

```
1
                  FEMALE JUROR: I've had to do injections
2
   of insulin since 1990. At the present time, I'm wearing
3
   an insulin pump.
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Thank you. I know
4
5
   this is personal, but I sure do appreciate that.
6
                  FEMALE JUROR: I am an insulin-dependent
7
   diabetic.
8
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. Thank you.
9
                  FEMALE JUROR: For several years several
10
   years ago, I gave myself allergy shots.
11
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Judge, how much time
12
   do I have?
13
                  THE COURT: A little over 10 minutes.
14
                  MR. SAYLES: All right. Anybody else?
15
                  Now, let me bring us to a question that's
16
   very important in this case. The test of jury service,
17
   which it sounds simple, but it's -- we know we're all a
18
   product of our life's experiences, right, and it
19
   influences the way we see things.
20
                  And the test of jury service is, will you
21
   follow the law, as given to you by the Court, and will
22
   you base your decision on the evidence?
2.3
                  And almost everyone, if we were just
24
   talking, would say, well, sure, I'll do that. But it
25
   gets a little harder when you start getting down into
```

```
1
   the specifics here.
2
                  In this case, on the damage side, you're
3
   going to hear a lot of competing evidence about the mode
   of administration and what that effect is on the sale of
4
5
   products. That's all taken into account in the
   evidence.
6
7
                  And what I want to ask you if you can do
8
   is, if you think that an IV infusion is less convenient
9
   than giving yourself a shot at home or if you think an
10
   IV infusion is safer by going to the doctor's office
11
   every couple of months, will you, nevertheless, base
12
   your decision on the basis of the evidence that takes
13
   all of that into account and not your own personal
14
   preference?
15
                  Because don't you see that if we present
   evidence, and somebody says, well, I give myself a shot
16
17
   at home, and I think that's the way it ought to be,
18
   that's evidence that we don't know anything about.
19
                  So I'm asking you, will you decide the
20
   case on the basis of the evidence you hear on that
21
   subject when it comes around?
22
                  Everybody here?
2.3
                  Yes?
                        Okay. All right. I'm accepting
24
   that no one is going to substitute their own personal
25
   preference for the evidence.
```

```
Now, I have to address for a moment the
1
   idea of damages. We have heard a lot about the
2
3
   courthouse rendering too much money.
                  It's usually in personal injury cases
4
5
   that we hear about that, and, you know, that may or may
6
   not be true. I think every case is judged on its merits
   and stands on its own. But some people have very strong
8
   feelings about that.
9
                  In this case, the sales figures on the
10
   accused product are in the billions. Therefore, the
11
   damages that will be presented through evidence are also
   over $2 billion.
12
13
                  Now, there might be some folks that say,
   I don't care what your evidence is. I can't go above
14
15
   some amount of money, a million, 10 million, 100
16
   million, 1 billion.
17
                  But my question is, will you base your
   decision on the evidence regardless of the amount
18
19
   involved, even though it's large?
20
                  Front row?
21
                  Mr. Williams, how do you feel about that?
22
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS:
                                      I'll agree.
2.3
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. If I owe you a
24
   hundred, I pay you a hundred; if I own you a billion, I
25
   pay you a billion; is that right?
```

```
1
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS:
                                       That's right.
                  MR. SAYLES: All right.
2
                                           Does anybody
3
   feel differently than what Mr. Williams just said?
4
                  Okay. All right. I'm going to take it
5
   that nobody has preconceived limits, and if that's the
   evidence, you'll follow the instructions of the Judge on
6
   what the burden of proof is on proving it, which is by a
8
   preponderance of the evidence, and if it's large, that's
9
   the way it is, agreed?
10
                  Okay. Let me bring up a topic that I
11
   want to cover very briefly.
12
                  The car you drove to the courthouse
13
   probably has a lot of patents that apply to many
14
   aspects, from headlights to taillights, top to bottom.
15
   And it's not unusual that products such Humira or
16
   Remicade or Enbrel or Methotrexate, any product, is
17
   subject to several patents, several different patents.
18
   But because the accused product here, Humira, has some
19
   patents that apply to it is no defense, in and of
20
   itself, to a patent infringement case.
21
                  Now, is there anybody that says, well,
22
   you know, if they have some patents, they can't infringe
2.3
   another patent? Because that's not right. That's not
24
   what the law says.
25
                  And so I'm telling you that now and
```

```
asking you if you can accept the law, as given to you by
1
2
   the Court, in that regard?
3
                  First row?
                  Second row?
4
5
                  Third row and on back?
6
                  Thank you. That -- that -- you know,
   I'll give you an example to make this point, and then
8
   I'm going to move on.
9
                  A person could get a patent for a
10
   three-legged stool, have it issued, and then someone
11
   could come along and get a patent for a four-legged
12
   stool. But it still might infringe the patent on the
13
   three-legged stool, you see.
14
                  So the fact that they have patents is not
15
   a defense to the patent claim.
16
                  In this case -- and this is the next
17
   question -- you must judge the infringement issue by
18
   comparing the accused product, Humira, to the patent
19
   claims that we haven't shown you yet and not our
20
   products, Centocor's product, Remicade.
21
                  But to the patent claims, will you do
22
   that, if that's what the law says, compare it for
2.3
   infringement purposes, compare the accused product,
24
   Humira, to the patent claims that we'll show you later
25
   on in the evidence?
```

```
Second row?
1
                  Back in the gallery?
2
3
                  And not to Remicade. There are
4
   differences, but you have to judge infringement based on
5
   looking at the patent claims.
6
                  All right. And I've taken it that
7
   everybody will do that.
8
                  The next idea that I want to ask you a
9
   question about is FDA approvals. There are a number of
10
   diseases that are treated by these drugs, more than
   rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's that I've mentioned.
11
   Here's a list of five that are addressed. The most
12
13
   prominent ones are rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's, but
   these others are serious diseases, and they respond to
14
15
   these types of medicines.
16
                  The FDA process -- that's the Food and
   Drug Administration -- is different from the Patent &
17
18
   Trademark Office. And the fact that Abbott or Centocor,
19
   either one, gets FDA approval says nothing about the
20
   patent infringement or validity. And I don't want you
21
   to be confused about that.
22
                  Is there anyone on the jury panel that
2.3
   thinks, well, if you got FDA approval, it must be okay,
24
   so there can't be infringement? Because the two do not
25
   connect.
```

```
1
                  Anybody have a problem with that on the
2
   jury panel here?
3
                  Back here?
                  This is going to make more sense to you
 4
5
   when you hear more about it later, but I want to make
   sure now. Because, indeed, Remicade got FDA approval
6
   for all of these diseases, and shortly behind it,
   Humira, the accused product, got its approvals from the
9
   FDA for these diseases.
                  All right. I want to ask you for a show
10
11
   of hands here. On cirrhosis, cirrhotic arthritis, or
12
   ankylosis spondylitis, is there anybody that is familiar
   with those diseases?
13
14
                  Okay. Yes, ma'am.
15
                  JUROR SCHANLEY: I've managed quite a few
16
   clinics that had patients with cirrhosis.
17
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. All right.
18
                  JUROR SCHANLEY: We had a rheumatology
19
   clinic.
20
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay. Very good.
21
                  THE COURT: Two minutes.
22
                  MR. SAYLES: Two minutes. All right.
2.3
                  I'm going to move quickly, so raise those
24
   hands fast.
25
                  FEMALE JUROR: I have a twin sister, and
```

```
her husband has the cirrhotic arthritis and is being
1
   treated for that.
2
3
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay.
                  FEMALE JUROR: I have a granddaughter
 4
5
   that was -- a great-granddaughter that was recently
   treated with cirrhosis.
6
7
                  FEMALE JUROR: I have a best friend in
8
   England who has cirrhosis, and I had a -- my mom's
9
   grand -- well, my grandmother on both sides, I think,
10
   had the rheumatoid arthritis, and my dad's grandmother
11
   had it really bad, and she died from it --
12
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay.
13
                  FEMALE JUROR: -- before all these drugs
14
   came out.
15
                  MR. SAYLES: Ladies and Gentlemen, I have
16
   some more questions, but I must respect your time, and I
17
   appreciate it very much.
18
                  We look forward to bringing you the
19
   evidence and bringing you more detail, and we'll show
20
   you that there's been infringement, and it's caused
   damages and that these patents are valid, and we look
21
   forward to doing that.
22
2.3
                  Thank you, Your Honor.
24
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
25
   Mr. Sayles.
```

```
Do you want to use these same slides?
1
2
                  MR. BECK: Pardon me, Your Honor.
3
                  THE COURT: Were you going to use these
   same slides?
4
5
                  MR. BECK: It doesn't -- no, sir, I was
6
   not.
7
                  THE COURT: Well, I'm just going to have
8
   her turn it off then. Can we do that?
                  MR. BECK: Your Honor, would you give me
9
10
   a three-minute warning?
                  THE COURT: Three minutes.
11
                  MR. BECK: That will be all right, if not
12
13
   before.
14
                  THE COURT: All right, Mr. Beck.
15
   go.
16
                  MR. BECK: Ladies and Gentlemen, I was
17
   introduced to you previously. My name is David Beck,
18
   and I represent what I'm just going to call Abbott, the
19
   Defendants in this lawsuit.
20
                  Now, Judge Ward has told you a little bit
21
   about himself, and you all told us a little bit about
22
   yourselves, and since we're going to be spending
2.3
   probably about a week together, let me tell you a little
24
   bit about me.
25
                  I'm married. Been married, I quess, most
```

```
of my life. Been married 44 years to the same wife.
1
2
   actually met in high school. Started dating then.
3
   after I got out of school, we got married. And we have
   three children.
4
5
                  Ms. Williams, you win the prize for the
  most grandchildren with 17. We've got four
6
   grandchildren, four of the most beautiful grandchildren
8
   you have ever seen. I'm sure you all would fight me on
9
   that a little bit.
10
                  But the reason that we talk a little bit
11
   about things and ask you questions is because we all
12
   come to this courthouse with experience. And the
   experience we have really has an effect on how we're
13
14
   going to decide a dispute.
15
                  For example, because of my kids and
16
   grandkids, I could never be a good juror when a child
17
   has been injured or hurt or molested. I just couldn't
18
   do it. I'd have to tell the Judge, Judge, I can't do
19
      I'm sorry. My mind is made up before I ever hear
20
   the evidence in the case. I would not be a juror. I'd
21
   have to be a juror in another case.
22
                  So that's really why we're asking you
23
   these questions.
24
                  Now, as I said, I've got three kids.
25
   two girls were real easy to raise. The son was a little
```

```
bit more difficult. He went from high school to the
1
2
  Marine Corps to Special Forces to Iraq. Thank God he's
3
  back. I saw somebody else that had some experience with
   the Iraq war.
4
5
                  And all the kids are out. They're
   supporting themselves. They're out of the nest.
6
                                                      And so
  my wife and I are absolutely delighted with how
8
   everything is going.
9
                  Now, let me tell you a little bit about
10
   our position in this case. As you have heard, our
11
   client is accused of infringing their patent. That's
12
   their argument. They're entitled to come to this Court
   and really put forward the case that they think that
13
14
   they have.
15
                  Humira, as you have heard, is our
16
  product. It is a drug, it is a medication that is used
17
   to -- what we will say the evidence will show, that has
18
   literally brought relief to millions of people in this
19
   country, and elsewhere, for that matter, on these
20
   diseases that you have heard about, some very serious
21
   diseases: Rheumatoid arthritis, cirrhosis, and a
   terrible stomach disorder called Crohn's disease, which
22
23
  my secretary happens to have.
24
                  So it's a good product. And I'm not
25
   saying their product is not a good product as well, but
```

```
our product is a little bit different. And that's
1
2
   really what this dispute is about.
3
                  We will show you that Abbott is one of
   the leading pharmaceutical companies, not just in our
4
5
   country, but one of the leading pharmaceutical companies
   in the world, which is something that the men and women
6
   who work there are very, very proud of, and they're
8
   proud of the products that they come forward with.
9
                  They spend billions -- you've heard the
10
   term billions, and it's tough for me to get those in my
11
   mind. But Abbott spends billions of dollars on research
12
   and development to bring new drugs to the market so that
13
   people who need them can benefit from them with the
14
   advice of their physician, such things as heart disease
15
   and cancer and diabetes and things like that. These are
16
   all product areas that Abbott is involved in.
17
                  We will show you that Humira is one of
18
   those products that resulted from that research.
19
   will tell you that our product, Humira, was what is
20
   going to be called a breakthrough product. And they won
21
   what I'm going to call is the Nobel Prize of the
22
   pharmaceutical industry for it.
2.3
                  And simply stated, our position is, we
24
   don't owe anything to Centocor. We don't owe anything
25
   to Remicade, which is their product.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our product is new; it's different; and it's novel in the case. It's the first human antibody of its kind that was ever authorized to go on the market by the Food and Drug Administration, the full -- first fully human antibody. The evidence is going to show that our autoimmune system produces antibodies. It's natural. Well, our product is the first fully human antibody to treat these diseases that you've heard about. One major difference -- you're going to hear a lot of differences, but one major difference that you haven't heard about is ours is a fully human antibody. Their antibody is part human and part rodent or mice. That's a very big difference. the first fully human. Their's is what is called a chimeric, which is part rodent, part -- part mice, and part human, a very, very important difference. At the end of the day, we believe the evidence is going to show that Abbott was the first to make a human -- a fully human antibody, the first to get FDA approval for a human antibody, the first to bring a human antibody to market so that the patients in this country that needed it could get it, and Humira has certain advantages over their product, we say exists,

```
1
   and we'll get into that in the evidence.
                  And as you've already heard from Counsel,
2
3
   we've got our own patents, and you're -- we'll get into
   that more during the evidence in this case.
4
5
                  So you may be saying, well, wait a
            If all that's true, why are we here?
6
   minute.
7
                  Well, we believe and we're going to show
8
   you that the reason we're here is because they are
9
   trying to achieve something in the courtroom that they
10
   cannot achieve in the marketplace.
11
                  There is no question that the -- that our
12
   product is producing billions of dollars in revenue.
                                                           No
13
   question about it. But their product is producing a lot
14
   of revenue for them as well. We believe in patient
15
   choice.
16
                  Now, let me get to some specific
17
   questions that some of -- that we may have with respect
   to some of the things you've told us.
18
19
                  Is there anybody on this jury panel --
20
   and I know you're going to think this is a dumb
21
   question, and maybe it is, but is there anybody on this
22
   jury panel who does not believe in competition?
2.3
                  Let me state it another way. All those
24
   who believe in competition, would you raise your hand,
25
   please.
```

```
1
                  All right. Mr. Strait, why do you
2
   believe in competition?
3
                  JUROR STRAIT: It's better for the
                It's better for everybody. It's better
4
   marketplace.
5
   for the whole marketplace and industry, whatever it is,
   and improvements to make everybody's lifestyle better.
6
7
                  MR. BECK: All right. Mr. Goldman, what
8
              Do you believe in competition?
   about you?
9
                  JUROR GOLDMAN:
                                  Yes.
10
                  MR. BECK:
                             Why?
11
                  JUROR GOLDMAN: Because it brings out the
12
   best in other companies.
13
                  MR. BECK: All right. Thank you.
14
                  Is there anybody who disagrees with what
15
   Mr. Goldman and Mr. Strait have said? Is there anybody
   who disagrees with that?
16
17
                  All right. I don't see any raise of
18
   hands.
19
                  Now, let ask a slightly different
20
              All those who believe in innovation, would
21
   you raise your hand, please.
22
                  All right. Let me say it another way,
2.3
   because there may have been some people that didn't
24
   raise -- is there anybody here who does not believe in
25
   innovation?
```

```
1
                  All right. Ms. Williams, I hate to keep
   picking on you, but you're in the first row.
2
3
   you're in the first row, you always get called on.
                  Do you believe in innovation?
4
5
                  JUROR MAXINE WILLIAMS: Yes, I do.
6
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And why is that?
7
                  JUROR MAXINE WILLIAMS: Because there's
8
   always something bigger and better. It's really not too
9
   hard to --
10
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you.
                  Let me call on Mr. Williams. You
11
12
   shouldn't have got on that front row.
                  What about you, Mr. Williams? Do you
13
   believe in innovation?
14
15
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: Oh, yes, I do, sir.
16
                  MR. BECK: Why do you believe in
   innovation?
17
18
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: Well, just like
19
   Ms. Williams just mentioned, if there's more like
20
   competition, things are usually better, because I work
21
   for a funeral home, so I want to make sure our funeral
   home service is better than the next one.
22
2.3
                  MR. BECK: All right. Thank you, sir.
24
   Is there anybody who disagrees with Ms. Williams and Mr.
25
   Williams?
```

```
1
                  All right. I don't see any raise of
2
   hands.
3
                  The next question I want to ask you is,
   is there anybody here who believes that if some company
4
5
   has a patent and another company comes along later -- or
   earlier for that matter, but let's talk about later --
6
   comes along later and comes up with something different,
8
   something better, something that has advantages, that
9
   somehow there's got to be infringement there, because
10
   they treat the same diseases?
11
                  In other words, somebody's got a patent,
12
   somebody comes along later with a product that's new,
13
   it's different, and -- but it treats the same diseases,
14
   and somebody here says, well, they must infringe because
15
   they treat the same diseases?
16
                  Is there anybody here who believes that?
17
                  If so, let's raise your hand, if you
   wouldn't mind, and let's talk about for just a minute.
18
19
                  All right. I don't see any raise of
2.0
   hands.
21
                  In other words, as part of this
22
   competition and intervention, is there anybody here who
2.3
   does not believe that we want our companies, we want
24
   people, we want professions, we want people in garages
25
   tinkering to try to make things better and different?
```

```
Is there anybody here who doesn't believe that?
1
                  All right. I don't see any raise of
2
3
   hands.
4
                  A couple of you have had members of the
5
   family -- I know that you have -- that have been
   involved in the patent system. Anybody here who has a
6
   patent or a member of their immediate family has a
8
   patent who hasn't already told us about it?
9
                  All right. I don't see any raise --
10
   anybody here have a -- any working knowledge of the
11
   patent system?
12
                  Because Judge Ward's going to tell us
13
   about what the law is and what the claims in this patent
14
   really mean, and he's going to give us some -- give
15
   you-all instructions, lawyers, too, but he's going to
   certainly give you-all instructions.
16
17
                  Anybody who has any working knowledge of
   the patent system that you think, well, wait a minute,
18
19
   and I know how this works. I don't need anybody to tell
20
       Anybody who has that working knowledge of the
21
   patent system?
22
                  All right. I take it by your silence
   that there is no one.
2.3
24
                  Now, you-all saw the film that Judge Ward
25
   showed you. At the end of the evidence in this case, we
```

```
believe that you're going to be asked about, among other
1
   things, whether this patent is valid or invalid.
2
3
                  Is there anybody here who cannot, if you
   believe the evidence justifies it, determine that their
4
5
   patent on this Remicade product is invalid, if you
   believe the evidence shows it?
6
7
                  In other words, the flip side of that
8
   questions is, Well, wait a minute. The Patent Office
9
   gave them a patent, may have given us patents, but the
10
   Patent Office gave them a patent, so there's no way I
   could ever declare that invalid.
11
12
                  Is there anybody here who, after hearing
   the evidence, if you believe the evidence justifies it,
13
14
   cannot say, I believe that patent is invalid? Is there
15
   anybody here who cannot do that?
16
                  I take it by your silence that there is
   no one. I want to ask you some specific questions.
17
18
                  Other than Ms. Keel, has anybody here
19
   ever owned your own business?
20
                  All right. Mr. Strait? And your
21
   business was what, sir?
22
                  JUROR STRAIT: Shoeing horses, setting up
23
   mobile homes, pushing my own welding rig.
24
                  MR. BECK:
                             Sounds --
25
                  JUROR STRAIT: Whatever it takes to make
```

```
a dollar.
1
2
                  MR. BECK: Sounds like you had a lot of
3
  businesses.
                Thank you, sir.
                  JUROR STRAIT: A lot of fun.
4
5
                  JUROR MANNING: Yes, sir. I've been
6
   self-employed as a landman and real estate agent most of
  my adult life. My only stock in trade is my time.
8
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, sir.
9
                  Was there another hand up?
10
                  Yes, ma'am. That's No. 25.
11
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Yes. I used to have
12
   the Hub Steakhouse in Gilmer, so...
13
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Let me ask you a
   question.
14
             Do you know a man in Gilmer by the name of
15
   Todd Parish?
16
                  JUROR HICKERSON: I know of him, yes.
17
                  MR. BECK: All right. There are a couple
18
   of people nodding their heads, and I wanted -- and could
19
   we identify those who know Todd Parish and -- he's an
20
   investigator, I think as most people here know, and he
   may be assisting one of the parties in this case, and I
21
   just need to make sure that we know if there's any
22
2.3
  relationship there.
24
                  Let's start first with No. 13, and that's
25
  Ms. Keel.
```

```
JUROR KEEL: I've lived in Gilmer for 30
1
2
   years.
           I know of Todd, and I've -- I don't -- I mean,
3
   I'm not really good friends with him, but a really good
   friend of mine is a good friend of his, so ...
4
5
                  MR. BECK: Okay. If it comes out during
   the evidence that he's somehow assisting the other side,
6
   would that make any difference to you in this case?
8
  Was there a slight hesitation there on your part?
9
                  JUROR KEEL: No.
10
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Was there anybody else
11
   in the jury box?
12
                  All right. I believe Ms. Kizer, did you
   have your hand up? Yes, ma'am.
13
14
                  JUROR KIZER: Yes. I know him through
15
                He's Judge Parish's brother.
                  MR. BECK: Okay.
16
                  JUROR KIZER: And he used to work for the
17
   Sheriff's Office, I believe, on the public nuisance.
18
19
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Would the fact that you
20
   know him or know of him, would that affect you in any
21
   way in this case if it comes out that he's assisting the
   other side?
22
2.3
                  JUROR KIZER: No. Because whatever he
   says, I would believe it would be honest, you know.
24
25
                  MR. BECK:
                             Okay.
```

```
1
                  JUROR KIZER: I mean, I wouldn't make it
2
   one way or the other.
3
                  MR. BECK: Okay. That's fine.
4
   you.
5
                  And Mr. Manning?
6
                  JUROR MANNING: Yes, I know of him.
                                                        I've
   worked with -- with Judge Parish as my father did some
8
   appraisal work for her, and he assisted in some of the
9
   investigation work.
10
                  MR. BECK: All right. Would that affect
11
   you in any way in this case at all if you find out he's
12
   somehow working with the other side?
13
                  JUROR MANNING: I don't believe so.
14
                  MR. BECK: Okay. All right. Anybody
15
   else?
16
                  All right.
                              25.
17
                  JUROR HICKERSON: You had asked me, but,
   yes, I've known him through the years for --
18
19
                  MR. BECK: Okay. But that wouldn't
20
   affect you at all?
21
                  JUROR HICKERSON: No.
22
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And then I guess the
23
   last person was Ms. Fletcher. Would that affect you in
24
   any way at all?
25
                  JUROR FLETCHER: No, sir, it wouldn't.
```

```
know Judge Parish better than I do her brother, but I
1
  have heard of him.
2
3
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
                  Is there anybody here who has ever filed
4
5
   a lawsuit before against anyone?
                  All right. Mr. Strait, I see you've
6
7
   raised your hand. How long ago was that, sir?
8
                  JUROR STRAIT: It was just a real minor
9
   civil suit, settled out of court a month ago.
10
                  MR. BECK: Anything from that experience
11
   would cause you to lean one way or the other in this
12
   case?
13
                  JUROR STRAIT: No, sir.
                  MR. BECK: It's a business dispute?
14
15
                  JUROR STRAIT: Yes, sir.
16
                  MR. BECK: Okay. All right. Anybody
17
   else that may have filed a law -- all right. We've got
  No. 10 up here. That's Mr. Jones.
18
19
                  When was it, and what was the nature of
20
   the suit?
21
                  JUROR JONES: Well, it's still pending.
22
   It will be -- actually, this month -- next month we'll
23
   go to court on it. It's a vehicle accident.
24
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Is it going to trial in
25
   this court?
```

```
JUROR JONES: No, sir. No, sir.
1
                  MR. BECK: Okay.
2
3
                  JUROR JONES: It will be Upshur County.
                  MR. BECK: And what's the nature of the
4
5
   dispute again?
                  JUROR JONES: It was a vehicle accident.
6
7
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And were you hurt at
8
   all in that accident?
9
                  JUROR JONES: It was very minor.
10
                  MR. BECK: Was this in your role as a
11
   fireman that the accident happened?
12
                  JUROR JONES: No, sir. No, sir.
                                                     Ι
13
  was --
14
                  MR. BECK: Okay.
15
                  JUROR JONES: -- in a personal vehicle.
16
                  MR. BECK: All right. Is there any --
17
   and you've filed a lawsuit, so you're a plaintiff.
18
   They're, obviously, a plaintiff suing our client. Would
   you -- do you think that would in any way cause you to
20
  maybe lean towards their way in this case?
21
                  JUROR JONES: No.
22
                  MR. BECK: You know that they're totally
2.3
  different?
24
                  JUROR JONES: Doesn't matter.
25
                  MR. BECK: Thank you. Appreciate that.
```

```
Anybody else?
1
2
                  All right. That's Ms. Coleman?
3
                  JUROR COLEMAN: Yes. One 30 years ago,
   an insurance claim, and one about 15, 20 years ago, also
4
5
   an insurance claim in a vehicle.
                  MR. BECK: Well, I hope -- hope those
6
7
   matters came out all right as far as your concerned.
8
                  JUROR COLEMAN: Yes, sir.
9
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Anything about that
10
   experience which would cause you to be affected one way
   or the other?
11
12
                  JUROR COLEMAN: No, sir, not at all.
13
                  MR. BECK: Thank you.
                  All right. Ms. Nunley, did you have your
14
15
   hand up?
                  JUROR NUNLEY: Yes. I had a personal
16
17
   injury case about three years ago, four years ago. It
18
   settled out of court.
19
                  MR. BECK: And was that disposed of to
20
   your satisfaction, ma'am?
21
                  JUROR NUNLEY: Yes.
22
                  MR. BECK: Thank you.
2.3
                  Anybody else?
24
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Okay. I have two
25
   pending with Weinstein Law Firm out of Tyler.
```

```
MR. BECK: It's Ms. Hickerson.
1
2
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Yes.
3
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And you say there are
4
   two pending?
5
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Yes.
6
                  MR. BECK: And what are the nature of
7
   those two, please?
8
                  JUROR HICKERSON: It's a -- with a car
9
   dealership.
10
                  MR. BECK: This is over an automobile you
11
   purchased?
12
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Yes.
13
                  MR. BECK: And are both suits over the
14
   same matter?
15
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Well, there's two
   different vehicles, yes.
16
17
                  MR. BECK: Two different vehicles.
18
                  And both -- and who's representing you in
19
   the matter?
20
                  JUROR HICKERSON: Weinstein Law Firm out
21
   of Athens, Texas.
22
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And is that matter
23
   currently -- or set for trial?
24
                  JUROR HICKERSON: No. You know, those
25
   things take years.
```

```
1
                  (Laughter.)
2
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Don't let Judge Ward
3
   hear you say that.
4
                  All right. Is there anything from that
5
   experience -- you heard me ask a question a while ago.
   You're a plaintiff in two cases. They're a plaintiff.
6
   Is that going to cause you to think, well, you know,
8
   they came into this court; they're accusing somebody --
9
                  JUROR HICKERSON: No. I think this
10
   actually will be pretty interesting, because I study a
   lot of stuff on medical and drugs and stuff like that,
11
12
   so I think it would be really interesting.
                  MR. BECK: Great. Thank you very much,
13
  ma'am.
14
15
                  Anybody else who's filed a lawsuit?
16
                  Anybody here know any of the lawyers
   involved on this side? Mr. Sayles and his colleagues
17
18
   that he introduced, anybody know them?
19
                  Okay. Anybody own any stock in Centocor?
20
                  Anybody had any member of their family go
21
   to New York University?
22
                  Don't see any raise of hands.
2.3
                  I've got a few specific questions of some
24
            If I skip you, that doesn't mean anything.
   of you.
25
   It's just that we only have so much time.
```

```
1
                  Now, some of you have been on a criminal
2
   jury, and some of you have been on a civil jury.
                                                      Those
3
   of you who were on the civil jury -- those of you who
   were on the civil jury, and you reached a verdict, could
4
5
  you raise your hand or your number, please.
6
                  Mr. Strait, you might as well just hold
7
   that card up the whole time.
8
                  JUROR STRAIT: That calls for a --
9
                  [Laughter.]
10
                  MR. BECK: Mr. Williams, did you have
11
   your card up?
12
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: No, sir.
13
                  MR. BECK: All right. Mr. Strait, you
14
   reached a verdict; is that right?
15
                  JUROR STRAIT: It was over a car
   accident.
16
17
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And were you the
18
   foreman?
19
                  JUROR STRAIT: No, sir.
20
                  MR. BECK: Okay. All right. Anybody
21
   else who served on a jury?
22
                  All right. I think we've got -- that is
23
   Mr. Briley.
24
                  JUROR BRILEY: Yes.
25
                  MR. BECK: And how long ago was that,
```

```
sir?
1
2
                  JUROR BRILEY: About 15 years ago.
3
                  MR. BECK: And the type of case, please?
                  JUROR BRILEY: It was a lawsuit between a
4
5
   trucking company and a bank.
                  MR. BECK: Did y'all reach a verdict?
6
7
                  JUROR BRILEY: Yes, we did.
8
                  MR. BECK: Were you the foreman?
9
                  JUROR BRILEY: No, I was not.
10
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Anything from that
11
   experience which would affect you any way at all in this
12
   case?
13
                  JUROR BRILEY: None whatsoever.
14
                  MR. BECK: Thank you, sir.
15
                  Anybody else?
16
                  All right. That's Ms. Kendrick?
17
                  JUROR KENDRICK: Uh-huh.
18
                  MR. BECK: And how long ago was it?
19
                  JUROR KENDRICK: It was three or four
20
   years ago.
               It was a civil case involving theft of
21
   timber, and we did reach a verdict.
22
                  MR. BECK: Nothing like this?
2.3
                  JUROR KENDRICK: No. No.
24
                  MR. BECK: Were you the foreman?
25
                  JUROR KENDRICK: No.
```

```
1
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
2
                  Anybody else?
3
                  Ms. Nunley?
                  JUROR NUNLEY: It was a criminal case,
4
5
   and it's been over 30 years.
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
6
7
                  Anybody else?
8
                  All right. Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Williams?
9
                  JUROR MAXINE WILLIAMS: This was a
10
   criminal case. You know, I was a juror, and we reached
   a decision.
11
12
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
13
                  Mr. Williams, I got to pick on you again.
14
                  Now, you said that you're a Director of
15
   Social Services, is this at the present time?
16
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And just generally,
17
18
   what do you do in that position?
19
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: Home health
20
   referrals, nursing home placements, assist patients with
21
   medication assistance, court committals for mental
   health patients.
22
2.3
                  MR. BECK: It sounds like you're a busy
24
   man.
25
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: Very.
```

```
1
                  MR. BECK: Do you have people working
2
   under your supervision?
3
                  JUROR D. WILLIAMS: Director of Nursing.
4
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you.
5
                  Ms. Williams? This is the other
6
   Ms. Williams. The first Ms. Williams; this is the
   second Ms. Williams.
8
                  You said that you had two years of
9
   college.
            Where did you go to school? I didn't catch
10
   that.
11
                  JUROR MARNI WILLIAMS: I went to Panola
12
   and --
13
                  MR. BECK: Oh, Panola.
14
                  JUROR MARNI WILLIAMS: -- and Sam Houston
15
   State.
16
                  MR. BECK: Okay. In Huntsville?
17
                  JUROR MARNI WILLIAMS: Yes.
18
                  MR. BECK: And what were you studying
19
   there?
20
                  JUROR MARNI WILLIAMS: Undecided.
21
                  MR. BECK: Okay. That's a good --
22
                  JUROR MARNI WILLIAMS: That's the reason
2.3
   I didn't finish.
24
                  MR. BECK: That's a good major to have.
25
                  Thank you, ma'am.
```

```
1
                  Ms. Richardson, was it your sister that
2
   is the architectural engineer?
3
                  JUROR RICHARDSON: Yes.
4
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And where does she
5
   live?
6
                  JUROR RICHARDSON: Desoto.
7
                  MR. BECK: Thank you, ma'am.
8
                  Mr. Gurley, no questions.
9
                  Mr. Goldman, I think we've covered the
10
   question I wanted to ask you, but there -- I did have
11
   one more thing.
12
                  I couldn't hear what you were saying
   about where you're currently employed.
13
14
                  JUROR GOLDMAN: Sam's Club.
15
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, sir.
16
                  Mr. Strait, I'm not asking you anything
17
   else.
18
                  Mr. Jones, your wife works at the
19
   Diagnostic Clinic at Longview; is that correct?
20
                  JUROR JONES: Yes.
21
                  MR. BECK: And what does she do there?
22
                  JUROR JONES: She works as a medical
23
   assistant in orthopedics.
24
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you.
25
                  All right. Mr. Yates, no questions.
```

```
Ms. Monts, no questions.
1
2
                  Ms. Keel, no questions.
3
                  Ms. Schanley, no questions.
4
                  Ms. Kizer, did you say that your husband
5
   is retired?
6
                  JUROR KIZER: Yes.
7
                  MR. BECK: All right.
8
                  JUROR KIZER: Yes. Out of National
9
   Oilwell Services. He was an outside salesperson.
10
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
11
                  Ms. Coleman, no more questions.
12
                  Mr. Manning, no questions.
13
                  Ms. Little, I think we've asked you so
14
   many questions that we're going to leave you alone. I
15
   did have one question. I'm sorry.
16
                  If I heard you correctly, your husband
17
   designed patents, and he was part of a design that
18
   eventually ended up in being a patent?
19
                  JUROR LITTLE: Yes.
20
                  MR. BECK: And am I --
21
                  JUROR LITTLE: Yeah. He worked closely
22
   with the engine -- he's an engineer, but an undegreed
23
   engineer.
24
                  MR. BECK: Oh, I see.
25
                  JUROR LITTLE: And he worked closely with
```

```
1
   the degreed engineers.
                  MR. BECK: And it sounds to me like he
2
3
   did a lot of the work --
                  JUROR LITTLE: He did.
4
5
                  MR. BECK: -- but didn't get any of the
6
   credit; is that right?
7
                  JUROR LITTLE: Underpaid, overworked.
8
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
9
                  Ms. Nunley, no more questions.
10
                  Ms. Kendrick, I think we've asked you
11
   everything.
12
                  Mr. Vaughan, no questions.
13
                  Mr. Briley, no questions.
14
                  Ms. Riddle, Mr. Roberts, Ms. Hickerson.
15
   I think that's pretty much all of the individual
16
   questions that I have. I've got a couple of more
17
   general questions to ask everybody.
18
                  Is anybody here a member of a union? If
19
   so, would you raise your hand?
20
                  All right. No. 10. Is this -- this is
21
   the firefighters union, I take it.
22
                  JUROR JONES: (Nods head.)
2.3
                  MR. BECK: Okay. Anybody else?
24
                  All right. That would be --
25
                  JUROR TURBEVILLE: Turbeville.
```

```
1
                  MR. BECK: Mr. Turbeville. And which
2
   union is that, sir?
3
                  JUROR TURBEVILLE: United Steel Workers.
                  MR. BECK: Okay. And are you still a
4
5
   member?
6
                  JUROR TURBEVILLE: Yes, sir.
7
                  MR. BECK: Okay. I used to be a member
8
   of the OCAW, and they say once you're a member, you're
9
   always a member, even if you don't pay your dues, so...
10
                  Anybody else who's a member of the union?
11
                  All right. I don't see any raise of
12
   hands.
13
                  How about the ACLU? Is anybody a member
   of the ACLU?
14
15
                  All right. I don't see any raise of
16
   hands about that organization.
17
                  The last question I always ask, and
   sometimes I don't like the answers, and sometimes I
18
19
   think what a dumb question, but I'm going to ask it
20
   anyway.
21
                  This lawsuit is important to both sides.
22
   There's a lot of money at stake, but that's not the real
23
   reason. You know, there's a lot of -- well, let me just
   say that there's -- there's a lot of money at stake.
24
25
                  And we'll get into the other issues
```

```
1
   during the course of the trial.
2
                  But what I need to know is, sometimes
3
   lawyers don't ask the right questions. And is there
   anybody here who thinks, you know, he ain't a very good
4
5
   lawyer, because if he would just ask this one question,
   he would find out that, you know, I know something, and
6
   I've got some experience, and he would never want me on
8
   this jury if he would find that out?
9
                  So I'm going to -- the question I'm going
10
   to ask you, is there anything that I haven't asked you
11
   that you're kind of thinking, you know, if old Beck
12
   would just ask the right question, he would really be
13
   surprised at the answer?
                  Is there anything you-all think that I
14
15
   need to know and the Judge needs to know that might
   affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in
16
17
   the case as you presently understand it?
18
                  All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, thank
19
   you very, very much for your time, and I look forward to
20
   spending a week with some of you.
21
                  Thank you.
22
                  THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Beck.
2.3
                  All right.
                              If counsel will approach.
24
                  (Bench conference.)
25
                  THE COURT: We've got scheduling problems
```

```
with 10, 15, 21, and 26.
1
2
                  Now, do y'all -- do y'all have any
3
   individual voir dires that you're requesting?
                  Plaintiff?
4
5
                  MR. SAYLES: Judge, I may. I would
   challenge Linda Little for cause based on her statements
6
   that she's already made about her husband's working with
8
   patents. She could not say that it would not affect
9
   her.
        And she also --
                  THE COURT: Well, we'll take her up.
10
11
                  MR. SAYLES: Okay.
12
                  THE COURT: On individual voir dire, I'll
13
   let you pursue that.
14
                  MR. SAYLES: Yes, sir.
15
                  MR. BECK: 10 is the one -- he's the
16
   firefighter, isn't he, Your Honor?
17
                  THE COURT: 10 is the firefighter.
18
                  MR. BECK: Yeah.
                                     I -- I mean, I'd be
19
   willing to let him go.
20
                  MR. SAYLES: I would, too.
21
                  MR. BECK: He's got a scheduling problem.
22
   If that's okay with you.
2.3
                  THE COURT: Well, I'll need to get him --
24
   I'll talk to him and see what his scheduling problem is
25
  before I let him go.
```

```
1
                  Do you have any requests for individual
   voir dire?
2
3
                  MR. BECK: Not really, Your Honor.
                  THE COURT: Well, let's see. Let's
4
5
   assume, if we lost 10 -- if we lost everybody that had a
  problem, that would be 10, 15 -- assuming that we lost
6
   18, that would be three, 21 would be four.
8
                  MR. BECK: We're still in pretty good
9
   shape.
10
                  THE COURT: Yeah. We don't need to talk
   to 26, actually. I'll let her by. I'll call her
11
12
  number, and I'll explain that we just can't get down to
13
   her. If we lost all of them, we'd still get down to 22,
14
  because I'm going to give you four strikes apiece, and
15
   we're going to seat 10, so that would be as far as we
16
   would get.
17
                  Okay. Let me get them out of here,
18
   and -- okay.
19
                  (Bench conference concluded.)
20
                  THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask
21
   that the following jurors remain in the courtroom:
   No. 10, No. 15, No. 18, No. 21, and 26.
22
2.3
                  The remainder -- I'm going to excuse the
  rest of you at this time. Be prepared to come back in
24
25
   the courtroom at, oh, 11:30. I'll get you out of here
```

```
before noon, all of you. We've got some matters to take
1
2
   up individually, and I've got some matters to take up
3
   with the lawyers.
4
                  And so I'll see you back in here -- be
5
   ready to come back in at 11:30. Just leave your numbers
   in your seats, if you will, please. You may leave the
6
   courtroom at this time.
8
                  (Jury panel out.)
9
                  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jones, why
10
   don't you come on up here, if you would, and counsel.
11
                  (Bench conference.)
12
                  THE COURT: How are you doing today?
13
                  JUROR JONES: All right.
14
                  THE COURT: Good.
15
                  You indicated you would have trouble with
16
   the schedule.
17
                  JUROR JONES: Yes, sir. The vehicle
   accident I was talking about, the pretrial hearing is
18
19
   set for the 23rd of June.
20
                  THE COURT: What court is that in?
21
                  JUROR JONES: In Upshur County.
22
                  THE COURT: In front of Judge Parish, I
23
   quess?
24
                  JUROR JONES: I believe so.
25
                  THE COURT: Do you know when that case is
```

```
going to trial or scheduled?
1
2
                  JUROR JONES: The 13th of July.
3
                  THE COURT: That's the pretrial schedule
4
   then.
5
                  All right. Let me take this up with the
   lawyers. Be ready to come back in the courtroom, and
6
   I'll discuss -- did y'all have any further questions?
8
                  MR. SAYLES: I have no questions.
9
                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10
                  MR. BECK:
                            Thank you very much.
11
                  THE COURT: Thank you. We'll see you
   back in here at 11:30.
12
                  (Juror Jones leaves the courtroom.)
13
                  THE COURT: Y'all had indicated to me
14
15
   y'all want to jointly excuse this juror?
16
                  MR. BECK: I would.
17
                  MR. SAYLES: I would, too.
18
                  THE COURT: Okay. He's excused.
                                                    Okay.
19
                  (Open court.)
20
                  THE COURT: Judge Kizer?
21
                  (Bench conference continued.)
22
                  THE COURT: How are you?
2.3
                  JUROR KIZER: I'm fine.
24
                  The reason why I came -- all right.
25
   won't -- I hope you'll excuse me -- is that I'm
```

```
protesting my property taxes, and the Upshur County
1
2
   Appraisal's Office has set that date for my hearing
3
   date. I just got the letter this week.
                  THE COURT: What -- on the 22nd?
4
5
                  JUROR KIZER: Uh-huh, at 1:00 o'clock.
6
                  THE COURT: Any questions?
7
                  MR. SAYLES: No, sir.
8
                  THE COURT: All right. Be ready to come
9
   back at 11:30. I'll need to discuss this with the
10
   jury -- with the lawyers.
11
                  JUROR KIZER: Okay. Thank you.
12
                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
13
                  (Jury Kizer leaves the courtroom.)
14
                  THE COURT: Y'all both don't know what to
15
   do with her, so you're going to --
16
                  MR. SAYLES: I'd let her go.
                  MR. BECK: Me too.
17
18
                  THE COURT: 15 is excused. All right.
19
                  (Open court.)
20
                  THE COURT: Ms. Little?
21
                  (Bench conference continued.)
22
                  THE COURT: Ms. Little, in answering some
2.3
   questions to -- one of the lawyers presented to you --
24
   well, both of them discussed with you about your
25
   husband's involvement with design patents and some of
```

```
the things that went on.
1
2
                  And you indicated that that was something
3
   y'all talked about a lot from time to time, and you
   weren't -- had some real hesitancy about whether you
4
5
   could set that aside as far as your -- that
6
   experience --
7
                  JUROR LITTLE: Uh-huh.
8
                  THE COURT: -- and actually, that might
9
   affect your judgment in this case; is that right?
10
                  And I understand your --
                  JUROR LITTLE: That came before the drugs
11
12
   were submitted. I think I'm going to have more of a
13
   hard time with the recent diagnosis with my husband with
14
   rheumatoid, because Humira is one of the drugs that they
15
   are looking at for him.
16
                  In all honesty, I don't know if I
17
   emotionally am going to be able to set that aside.
18
                  THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Beck?
19
                  MR. BECK:
                            No, sir.
20
                  THE COURT: Any questions?
21
                  MR. SAYLES: No, Your Honor.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay. Be -- come on back in
2.3
   at 11:30 --
24
                  JUROR LITTLE: Okay.
25
                  THE COURT: -- will you, please?
```

```
JUROR LITTLE: Yes.
1
                  THE COURT: Okay. I'll see you then.
2
3
                  JUROR LITTLE: Uh-huh.
4
                  (Jury Little leaves the courtroom.)
5
                  THE COURT: I believe she disqualified
   herself. I've excused her, also.
6
7
                  (Discussion off the record.)
8
                  (Open court.)
9
                  THE COURT: Mr. Vaughan?
10
                  (Bench conference continued.)
11
                  THE COURT: Well, we could -- yeah.
12
   would -- he would be -- I've excused three, correct?
13
   He's in the mix.
14
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: Yes, sir.
15
                  THE COURT: How are you?
16
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: I'm good.
17
                  THE COURT: What's the scheduling
18
   problem?
19
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: My wife and I have
20
   scheduled a two-week vacation starting the 12th.
21
   won't be back till the 29th or 30th.
22
                  THE COURT: How long have y'all had this
23
   planned?
24
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: Since January. We both
25
   work for the state, so we have to schedule it about
```

```
three months in advance. She runs a tourist information
1
2
   center, so she has to schedule hers around her
3
   employees.
              I sure would like to go with her. And --
4
                  THE COURT: Where are you going?
5
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: The first week, we're
   going to the Bahamas. And then the second week, we're
6
   going up into Arkansas on a camping trip that we've
8
   already got money invested.
9
                  If it was after the 30th, I'd be happy to
10
   stay here with y'all, but...
11
                  THE COURT: Any questions Mr. Sayles?
12
                  MR. SAYLES: No questions, Your Honor.
13
                  MR. BECK: No questions, Your Honor.
14
                  THE COURT: I'm not even going to ask
15
   them. You're excused.
16
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: Thank you, sir.
                  THE COURT: But be back at 11:30.
17
                                                    Be --
18
   come on back in here at 11:30. And I'm going to excuse
19
        Just -- just don't tell anybody out there that
20
   you've been excused.
21
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you, sir.
22
                  THE COURT: All right. Have a nice one.
2.3
                  JUROR VAUGHAN: Thank you.
24
                  (Juror Vaughan leaves the courtroom.)
25
                  THE COURT: I quess I could make him
```

```
I don't know who he'd take it out on. But one of
1
   stay.
2
   y'all believes that.
3
                  Okay. All right. So I excused those
          That means that -- you're going to take four
4
   four.
5
   strikes apiece, and we're going to go seat 10 jurors, so
6
   that means you need to take your strikes down through
   No. 22.
8
                  Let me tell this gentleman --
9
                  (Bench conference concluded.)
10
                  THE COURT: Mr. Turbeville,
11
   mathematically, we will not reach you, but if you would,
12
   be back in here at 11:30, and I'll excuse you after
   that, okay?
13
14
                  Thank you.
15
                  (Juror Turbeville leaves the courtroom.)
                  (Bench conference.)
16
                  THE COURT: Okay. Why don't y'all get
17
18
   your strikes back here at 11:25. I don't see this
19
   happening, but after you turn your strikes in to
20
   Ms. Dupree, then exchange your numbers, and then if --
21
   immediately notify me if there's any other type of
22
   challenge so that I can rule on that before I get the
2.3
   jurors back in here --
24
                  MR. SAYLES: All right.
25
                  THE COURT: -- okay? But get them back
```

```
there -- I gave you a long time. I don't why I'm so
1
2
   generous, but --
3
                  MR. SAYLES: Seemed like his 30 minutes
   was longer than my 30 minutes.
4
5
                  THE COURT: There's the clock, my boys.
                  (Discussion off the record.)
6
7
                  (Recess.)
8
                  COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
9
                  (Jury panel in.)
10
                  THE COURT: Please be seated.
11
                  All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, if
12
   you'll listen carefully. As Ms. Dupree calls your name,
   please come forward and take a seat in the jury box.
13
14
                  Ms. Dupree?
15
                  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Maxine Williams; Mary
16
   Myrick; Marni J. Williams; Stanley L. Gurley; Randy M.
17
   Goldman; Duane D. Baese; Debra J. Monts; Deborah A.
   Keel; Donna F. Coleman; Gary Don Manning.
18
19
                  THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
20
   Gentlemen, those of you who were not selected, I'm about
21
   to excuse you with the thanks of the Court.
22
                  I've already told you this morning how
2.3
   important it is that you be here. We need to have a
24
   larger number of jurors present than are going to serve,
25
   and I appreciate your being here. I'll say to you again
```

```
that you do a great service to your country by being
1
2
   here and participating.
3
                  And I only have one final instruction to
4
   you, and that is, that you come with the same great
5
   attitude you did this morning the next time you win my
6
   lottery.
7
                  (Laughter.)
8
                  THE COURT: Thank you. You may leave the
9
   courtroom at this time.
10
                  (Remaining jury panel leaves.)
11
                  THE COURT: I quess I better stop using
12
   that line about a lottery. Somebody is going to be
   asking me for a check, I heard somebody say.
13
14
                  All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, those
15
   of you who have been chosen, if you would stand at this
16
   time and take the oath as jurors.
17
                  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Raise your right hand,
18
   please.
19
                  (Jury sworn.)
20
                  THE COURT: Please be seated.
21
                  Ladies and Gentlemen, you now constitute
22
   the jury in this case. You've taken the oath as jurors.
2.3
                  As I told you, we will start the trial in
24
   this case June the 22nd at 8:30 a.m., two weeks from
25
   this coming Monday, and -- or three weeks really from
```

```
this coming Monday, and you need to make sure, though,
1
   and leave your phone numbers.
2
3
                  I'm 95 percent certain that I'm going to
   be ready to take you that morning, but I'm going to pick
4
5
   an additional three juries next Monday, and I'll try
   four cases during the month of June.
6
7
                  And I've got them lined out, and I try to
8
   keep -- I've got this clock that I sort of keep lawyers
9
   on the timeframes. So, I think I can predict with some
10
   accuracy after 10 years, and we'll be ready to start
11
   promptly at 8:30 on the 22nd.
12
                  But make sure that we have your phone
   numbers so that -- if some unlikely event occurs that
13
14
   would prevent us from starting, so we could contact you.
15
                  Now, since you constitute the jury in
16
   this case, you've heard absolutely no evidence, so you
17
   should not have any preconceived notions now about who's
18
   right and who's wrong, because you need to base your
19
   decision in this case based solely upon the evidence
20
   that you receive here in the courtroom, the documents
   and the exhibits that constitute evidence that I allow
21
   to be admitted into evidence.
22
2.3
                  And so it's very important that you not
24
   be influenced by anything other than the evidence.
25
                  Keeping with that general idea, it's
```

important that you not attempt to do any research about 1 these parties or this case or these pharmaceuticals. 2 3 In other words, don't get on the internet and start searching for anything or -- you know, there may be 4 5 something in the newspaper about this case. Do not read any newspaper articles about this case. 6 7 There could be something on TV. If you 8 hear something on the TV, I ask you to walk -- I'm 9 instructing you you should walk out of the room. 10 And the reason there would be something 11 about this case is, the lawyers have already told you 12 that they'll be talking about two drug companies, and 13 there's a lot of money being talked about. So that 14 sometimes catches news stories, you know, those kind of 15 things. But do not -- do your very best not to let any 16 information enter your ears. 17 Now, what's real important, when you go home today, tonight, and the next few weeks and you talk 18 19 to your spouse and your friends, they're going to ask 20 you, as we all do, well, what are doing these days, or 21 what's going on, and you're going to say that -- there's nothing wrong with saying, I'm on a jury down in federal 22 court. 2.3 24 The first question that's going to be 25 asked of you most likely is something like, what kind of

```
1
                Do not answer that question. Do not
   case is it?
2
   discuss this case or your jury service or what this case
3
   is about with anyone.
4
                  Because as soon as you do, the person
5
   you're talking to is going to offer you some opinion
6
   about they know something about the drug or they know
   something about a case like that or -- I can assure you
8
   that through 40-something years of being involved in
9
   litigation as a lawyer and as a judge, that's what
10
   always happens.
11
                  And that would be improper, and it might
12
   cause us to have to start all over, you know. So please
13
   avoid that.
14
                  Now, in my years of experience, I've
15
   never had anyone try to contact a juror.
16
   happened in other jurisdictions. Should anyone try to
17
   contact you to discuss this case, of course, do not
18
   discuss it, but report that immediately to the Court.
19
   I don't believe that will happen, but I'm required by
20
   law to give you that instruction and forewarn you that
21
   that would be improper should some third party try to
22
   talk to you.
                 I'm talking about somebody other than your
2.3
   friend that's asking you what you're doing.
24
                  So do not, of course, discuss it, but
25
   should any contact like that occur, you need to report
```

```
1
   that immediately to the District Clerk's Office so I can
2
   deal with it.
3
                  With that, I have no further
4
   instructions.
5
                  Anything requested by the Plaintiff?
6
                  MR. SAYLES: The Plaintiff has nothing
   further at this time, Your Honor.
8
                  THE COURT: Okay. Defendant?
9
                  MR. BECK: No, sir.
10
                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11
                  All right. I'm going to excuse you.
12
   Travel safely. Have a good three weeks, and we'll see
   you back here on the 22nd.
13
14
                  Thank you very much. You may leave the
15
   courtroom.
16
                  (Jury out.)
                  THE COURT: Y'all be seated.
17
18
                  All right. If -- I know y'all are going
19
   to meet with Judge Everingham, I believe, correct, next
20
   week? Y'all have got that arranged?
21
                  MR. SAYLES: I think he said the 12th,
22
   Judge.
2.3
                  THE COURT: Okay. Whatever -- if it's
24
  not next week, the next. Here's a -- with respect to
25
   the patents-in-suit and the claims that I have -- I
```

```
mean, the terms that I have defined, I'd like for you to
1
2
   get a notebook for each one of the jurors that contains
3
   the patents themselves and then take and pull out the
   actual claims (sic) that I defined and the actual
4
5
   definitions, but nothing else out of the order, so that
   would -- they would have that in their jury notebook.
6
7
                  Now then, those -- any questions about
8
   what I'm talking about there?
9
                  Mr. Beck, you've done that before. You
10
   know what I'm talking about.
                  MR. BECK: Yes.
11
12
                  THE COURT: Okay. And y'all do have --
13
   get that together.
14
                  Now then, the Court's view is, if
15
   y'all -- if you can agree upon any other exhibits that
16
   you think would be -- that the jury -- should be in the
17
   jury notebook, if you agree upon them, then they can be
18
   in there.
19
                  But I do not conduct hearings about what
20
   goes into the jury notebook. If you can't agree upon
21
   them, then that means they're not going to be in the
   jury notebook, and you'll have to just emphasize them in
22
   your case-in-chief.
2.3
24
                  Is -- does anyone anticipate -- I don't
25
   want to give up any strategy, but I'd like to know -- I
```

```
don't need an answer to this question today, but make
1
2
   sure, if you're going to have a shadow jury present
3
   during the trial of the case, that you notify me that
4
   morning.
5
                  The reason I do that is so that I don't
   make some comment in front of that shadow jury that -- I
6
   try to make sure that the shadow jury doesn't hear
8
   anything that this other jury isn't. I don't to want to
9
   influence them.
10
                  I think, other than that, that's the only
11
   other instructions I had. Does -- do y'all have
12
   anything further at this time?
13
                  From the Plaintiff?
14
                  MR. SAYLES: The Plaintiff has nothing
15
   further at this time.
16
                  THE COURT: Mr. Beck?
17
                  MR. BECK: Judge, we have two very quick
18
   questions.
19
                  One is, what is the Court's inclination
20
   on trial on inequitable conduct and laches? Does the
21
   Court plan to do it right after --
22
                  THE COURT: No, I will not do it right
   after. We'll schedule that.
2.3
24
                  MR. BECK: Okay.
25
                  THE COURT: Because I've got another
```

```
jury -- I will have another jury starting on the morning
1
2
   of the 1st of July unless it settles.
3
                  MR. BECK: And then the second issue, in
   the opinion that Your Honor just sent out, we just need
4
5
   a little bit of clarification. Because on the first
   order, it talked about the priority date. It said no
6
   earlier than. And then in the opinion, it said no later
8
   than.
9
                  THE COURT: Well, it should --
10
                  MR. BECK: I just want make sure we
11
   knew --
12
                  THE COURT: Well, it should have -- it
   should have said no earlier than.
13
14
                  MR. BECK: Okay. That's what we thought,
15
   too, but -- okay.
16
                  THE COURT: I guess I didn't read it as
17
   carefully as I thought I had.
18
                  MR. BECK: I --
19
                  THE COURT: We'll correct that.
20
                  MR. BECK: Okay.
21
                  THE COURT: All right. Nothing further?
22
                  Thank you very much.
2.3
                  (Discussion off the record.)
24
                  (Court adjourned.)
25
```

```
1
 2
 3
                          CERTIFICATION
 4
 5
                 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a
 6
   true and correct transcript from the stenographic notes
   of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the
   best of my ability.
 9
10
11
12
   SUSAN SIMMONS, CSR
                                               Date
13
   Official Court Reporter
   State of Texas No.: 267
14
  Expiration Date: 12/31/10
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```